

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/993,312	11/13/2001	Leroy E. Hood	P - IS 4988 5632		
41552 MCDERMOT	7590 03/02/2007 Γ, WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER			
4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE, SUITE 700 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122			SMITH, CAROLYN L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/993,312	HOOD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carolyn L. Smith	1631	

<u>.</u>	Carolyn L. Smith	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 February 2007</u>. A bette date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replementation. 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			Coauso				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or 	w);	•	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		•					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,		_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-33 and 35-43</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>34 and 44-74</u> .			•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. ☐ Other:	· .	•					
	·	•	,				

Av 1631 examiner
3/1/07 Smith

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim amendments add new limitations such as "at least value sets containing two or more different types of data elements" and "providing an output to a user of said correlative changes" which would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the presence of new limitations that would require further search and/or consideration.

Applicants arguments regarding 35 USC 101 and 102 are based on proposed claim amendments which have not been entered and are therefore unpersuasive.